# THE SECRET FASCIST DEMOCRAT WAR AGAINST DEMOCRACY;

Voting for Greater Evil Is No Way to Punish Lesser Evil

By Lester Jackson, Ph.D.

President Trump's March 23 seeming "betrayal" of his base validated the cynicism of many that it is futile to vote Republican or to vote at all. I disagree. Although I view Republicans as corrupt and dishonest, at least they do not pose a threat anywhere close to the magnitude of that of Democrats, now controlled by the left. The recent Mueller-instigated raid on the president's lawyer shows just how dangerous the left is. Democrat control of Congress will jeopardize the Constitutionally quaranteed freedoms of all Americans.

While the <u>political</u> correctness <u>assault</u> on free speech, <u>especially</u> by the <u>media</u> and on <u>college</u> <u>campuses</u>, has <u>received</u> widespread attention, the Democrat Party objective to obliterate First Amendment protections, from assault by the full power of the Deep State, is largely unknown.

College campus anti-speech thuggery and media bias are bad enough. But these are private acts that can be countered by courageous private individuals. However, the war against free speech rises to a new level when the full power of government is deployed against freedom. Private leftists do not possess government's distinguishing feature: *power* – to destroy financially, to imprison, and to kill.

Were leftist Democrats in charge, I would be sent to the Gulag for writing this article and this site would be shut down for publishing it. That will be the overriding stake in every election unless and until democrats wrest party control from Democrats.

### **Democrat vs. Republican Pretense**

Three days before <u>betraying</u> his base, President Trump <u>claimed</u>: "Pelosi's party in Congress is full of people who tell their voters one thing during the election and then go to Washington and vote" the opposite. That also applies to Republicans. But unlike Republicans, Democrats are not only corrupt liars, but also dangerous.

Ten Democrat senators <u>face</u> difficult 2018 re-election contests: Baldwin, Brown, Casey, Donnelly, Heitkamp, Kaine, Manchin, McCaskill, Nelson and Tester. However much these senators try to trick voters into believing they are not wolves in sheep's clothing, last year, only three <u>voted to</u> confirm Justice Neil Gorsuch. The Gorsuch yeas surely resulted from fear of fast approaching re-election challenges. The seven leftist nays were Baldwin, Brown, Casey, Kaine, McCaskill, Nelson, and Tester.

### **Political Dynamite**

The votes against Gorsuch signified what <u>today's</u> leftist Democrat Party seeks: dictatorial judicial imposition of unpopular and unwanted policies upon unwilling majorities. Justice Scalia often said: "If you want a policy not mandated by the Constitution, persuade your fellow citizens." This was his response to leftists unable to convince fellow citizens of their nostrums' merits but who, instead, run to liberal activist justices to <u>lawlessly</u> ram these nostrums down the throats of the unpersuaded. The leftist playbook counsels intimidation and dictatorship, not honest, reasoned persuasion.

Few conservatives realize that the Supreme Court is now only one vote short of a majority eager to uphold denial of their freedom of speech by ordinary legislation. (Obamacare was inflicted on the American people by all Democrat legislators voting against all Republicans.) Remember, Justice Clarence Thomas has <u>pointed out</u> the obvious: "[p]olitical speech is the primary object of First Amendment protection." He felt the need to state the obvious when <u>fellow justices</u>, "rather than going out of [their] way to *protect* political speech, [went out of their] way to *avoid* protecting it" (Thomas's emphasis). The greatest significance of the Gorsuch confirmation is that he will likely protect the heart of free democratic elections that Make America Great.

In 2014, the ten named Democrat senators did not face imminent election challenges. Going beyond ordinary legislation, all ten voted to amend the Constitution to abolish First Amendment protection for conservatives. See the <u>official roll call vote</u> and <u>official Democrat amendment</u> to mutilate the First Amendment. Fifty-four U.S. senators – all Democrats – supported government regulation of speech critical of the government, or, as they put it, the power to "reasonably limit" money spent to "influence elections." Tellingly, these anti-democratic Democrats voted to specially protect the press, an arm of their party.

The Democrat Amendment seems merely to limit money. However, money is central to free speech because, nowadays, television ads are required to reach voters. If such ads were not persuasive, Justice Scalia <u>pointed out</u>, "sophisticated politicians of all parties" would not use them. Television <u>consumed \$2.8 billion</u> in the previous midterm election.

From time immemorial, suppression of criticism has been essential to tyranny. What <u>today's</u> <u>Democrats</u> seek, destruction of self-government's core freedom, is as old as <u>book-burning</u>. Yet, eleven days before Trump shocked his base on March 23, Montana Democrat Senator Jon Tester, pursuing re-election, <u>released an ad</u> claiming he's a Trump ally. But, as noted, Tester opposed Justice Gorsuch and the freedom of speech that makes our country free and great. This is political dynamite that can explode Tester's campaign – if used!

Extreme leftists are, in Justice <u>Douglas's words</u>, "miserable merchants of unwanted ideas; their wares remain unsold." Their ideas unable to withstand critical scrutiny, leftists <u>abhor</u> free speech. The left tries to bully its critics into silence, even seeking to eviscerate the Constitution's most sacred words. Despite leftist claims, there is little evidence of the right (especially officeholders) trying to silence the left. The left has a monopoly on <u>calling</u> their opponents <u>fascist</u>, while most on the right recoil from exposing the truth that the fascism charge applies to the left rather than the right. A conspicuous exception is <u>David Horowitz</u>, whose reward is to need strong security protection to speak in public. (I once personally witnessed Horowitz being escorted by uniformed police through a normally locked back door to deliver an address. When he needed a rest room, the police first made sure no one was there and then waited outside until Horowitz came out. The building looked like an armed camp.)

Dennis Prager <u>contends</u> that "the left-right battle [is] an existential battle for preserving our nation." Nothing could better destroy our nation than ceding power to the Deep State to determine the "reasonableness" of messages intended to persuade voters. <u>Well financed</u> antifreedom <u>hypocrites</u> allege that something is wrong with spending money to influence elections. What do they think is the purpose of political campaigns? Campaigns to influence election outcomes are at the core of democratic representative self-government. The Democrat Party is a threat to the very heart of representative democracy. If this is not publicized soon, America will cease to be free.

Republicans cannot rely on conservative media, which (a) largely preach to the choir and (b) have ignored or forgotten that in 2014, *all* Democrat senators went on record as opposed to free speech for conservatives. To win, Republican candidates in contested elections should run political ads informing undecided voters of this explosive fact.

## **Other Political Dynamite**

There are two reasons to focus on Democrat enmity toward free speech. First, it is the heart of every political issue. Citizens cannot seek policy change without a chance to explain or hear why. Second, it is disturbing and shocking that Republicans have done absolutely nothing to publicize the forgotten and ignored unanimous 2014 vote of all Senate Democrats to limit debate on an amendment to limit debate on *all* political issues. In a <u>recent political speech</u>, President Trump discussed every Democrat sin, except hatred of free speech.

Democrats must be exposed as enemies of the fundamental freedom essential to democracy. This should be sufficient to avoid Democrat congressional control. But there is other political dynamite.

It is a <u>hallmark of leftist Democrat hypocrites</u> to <u>smear</u> the right as guilty of everything of which the left is guilty: racism, sexism, hate, Russian collusion, corruption, lawlessness, etc. Space limits preclude extensive detail. But one item should be emphasized again and again in 2018 Senate campaigns.

**Racism.** Not long after trotting out a favorite Democrat smear, <u>calling</u> President Trump a "racist," would-be Senate majority leader Charles Schumer <u>openly declared</u> his vote against a judicial nominee because the latter is a white male. Anyone familiar with judicial nominations knows that opposition or support is based on likely nominee rulings rather than ethnicity or sex. Who can forget the vicious Democrat slander of Clarence Thomas, despite his race? Less well known is that extreme ACLU leftist Democrat Ruth Bader Ginsburg faced no Democrat opposition to her confirmation as a Supreme Court justice, despite the fact that <u>she did not employ a single black out of 57</u> people she hired in 13 years as a federal appellate judge.

Republicans who want to win should stress <u>Democrat racism</u>. <u>Dinesh D'Souza</u> has <u>described</u> past and present Democrat racism. Justice Thomas has noted the <u>racist origins of the Democrat obsession</u> <u>with suppression</u> of free speech. After the Civil War, because blacks then supported Republicans, <u>rabid segregationist</u> Democrat Senator Pitchfork Ben Tillman initiated restrictions on corporate contributions to the Republican Party. Finally, combining racism and sexism, the most recent Democrat presidential candidate <u>openly expressed</u> disdain for white women and the white males in their lives.

#### **Conclusion**

I have made no secret of my <u>revulsion</u> for <u>faithless elected</u> Republican senators and <u>representatives</u>, who repeatedly lied to gain control of Congress. Now Democrats will to lie to regain control.

Five weeks before he died, Justice Scalia <u>quoted</u> Charles de Gaulle: "God takes care of little children, drunkards, and the United States of America." So it is no surprise that the American political system rarely provides a choice of the greater good; the choice is nearly always *who is the lesser evil?* Those dissatisfied with "lesser evil" Republicans should defeat them in primaries. They should not substitute a vastly greater evil for a lesser evil in order to punish the lesser. The consequences will be irreversible.

Although, on March 23, the president forced many of his supporters to conclude that the Democrats <u>already control</u> Congress, actual leftist Democrat control of even one house of Congress can result in true Bernie Sanders <u>socialism</u>, greater protection for law-breaking and violence against the defenseless, increased racism and sexism, and fascism.

As faithless as Republicans are, they should stress – and easily document – what today's Democrats represent: An End to America. If Republican candidates fail to do so, they will deserve exactly what happens to them.

Tragically, freedom-loving, law-abiding Americans, under relentless Deep State attack, will not deserve it!

<u>Lester Jackson</u> is author of numerous articles about capital punishment, the Supreme Court, and American politics. His <u>recent book</u> is Equal Justice for Victims: A Blueprint for the Rightful Restoration of Capital Punishment. Copyright © 2018 by <u>Lester Jackson</u>, Ph.D. Copyright © 2018 by <u>Lester Jackson</u>, Ph.D. Originally in <u>American Thinker</u>; modified.